

ARLINGTON CITY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
JULY 2, 2007

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m., Mayor Kreft presiding.

Members present: Mayor Kreft, Borchert, Griep, Vrklan, Wills

Members absent: Reetz

Also Present: Interim Administrator Schultz, Attorney Arneson, Ambulance Manager Brian Thomes, Kurt Menk

Motion by Griep, seconded by Borchert, and passed by unanimous vote to approve the agenda with the following additions:

5.5) Ambulance Manager Brian Thomes – Hire New EMT

7.5) Review Employee Policy Handbook – Vacation Time

9.1) Well #2 Pump Replacement

9.2) Part-Time Library Aid Resignation & Approval to Advertise Open Position.

Motion by Griep, seconded by Wills, and passed by unanimous vote to approve the minutes from the June 4, 2007 meeting as corrected and the June 18, 2007 meeting as presented.

Motion by Vrklan, seconded by Wills, and passed by unanimous vote to approve the bills as presented.

Motion by Griep, seconded by Borchert, and passed by unanimous vote to approve the 1-time Temporary On-Sale 3.2 Malt Liquor License for the Sibley County Agricultural Association for the Sibley County Fair August 1-5, 2007.

Wills talked about the most recent Parks Committee meeting. He stated that the Committee, along with the Administrator for the Good Samaritan Center met at Fairview Park to discuss the proposed location for the shelter. He explained that the location had been staked out, which was very close to the property line and the new assisted living wing of the Good Samaritan Center. There was concern about it being so close to the assisted living wing (kids playing around the windows, etc.); it was agreed by the group to move it out 66 feet from the north property line. The new shelter will be 20x36 ft. (same as existing one), will be placed on a cement slab and constructed of the same maintenance free materials (metal, siding, shingles) as the assisted living complex. Wills explained that a sidewalk will be put in (cost split 50-50) and the first 2 parking stalls in the parking lot will be designated for handicap parking and a ramp. He also explained that the Good Samaritan Center wants to have a second sidewalk put in that would run from their building to the shelter. Wills stated that the Park Committee voted unanimously to accept the proposal for the new shelter as presented by the Good Samaritan Center. Borchert questioned the need to have 2 shelters in Fairview Park. He would like to see a shelter in Frenzel Park (between the ball field and play equipment). Borchert also expressed concern that if the new shelter is constructed from the same materials as the assisted living facility that people would not use it because they would think it belonged to the Good Samaritan Center and not the City. Vrklan commented that the condition of the existing shelter should also be considered; if it is in poor shape the new proposed shelter would be good to have. Mayor Kreft was not opposed to the idea of changing the location for the proposed shelter from Fairview Park to Frenzel Park, provided there was enough room. Wills suggested reviewing the agreement between the City and Good Samaritan Center to see if there were any stipulations regarding the location of the shelter.

Motion by Borchert, seconded by Griep, and passed by unanimous vote to table the recommendation from the Park Committee regarding the proposed shelter in Fairview Park.

Attorney Arneson presented Ordinance No. 206 – An Ordinance to Regulate the Keeping of Animals Within the City Limits of Arlington for its second reading. He explained that this ordinance supersedes Ordinance Nos.

172, 185 and 186 in their entirety. He pointed out the various changes that were made due to recommendations at the previous Council meeting (number of pets allowed, kennels, electronic fencing and strays).

Motion by Vrklan, seconded by Griep, and passed by unanimous vote to accept Ordinance No. 206 – An Ordinance to Regulate the Keeping of Animals within the City Limits of Arlington as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. 206

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ARLINGTON, MINNESOTA TO PROMOTE THE PUBLIC SAFETY, HEALTH AND WELFARE, HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

AN ORDINANCE TO REGULATE THE KEEPING OF ANIMALS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS OF ARLINGTON.
THIS ORDINANCE SUPERSEDES ORDINANCES 172, 185 AND 186 IN THEIR ENTIRETY.

1. **Allowed Animals.** No animals shall be kept or housed by any person or entity within the city limits of Arlington except as those allowed under the terms of this ordinance. Household pets, being those animals commonly bred and used as domesticated household pets, and which are of a size and nature as to be reasonably fit for such purpose, are allowed in all areas of the City, subject to the other restrictions set out in this Ordinance. Household pets would include, but are not limited to, dogs, cats, caged rodents such as white mice and gerbils, ferrets, rabbits, small aquarium fish such as goldfish, and non-hunting birds such as pigeons, parakeets and parrots.
2. **Farm Animals and Horses.** Farm animals, defined as animals usually held for agricultural or commercial production, including, but not limited to, cattle, hogs (including miniature "pot-bellied" pigs), sheep, goats, mink, ermine, chickens, or turkeys, and also horses, shall only be allowed to be kept or housed in portions of the city zoned as agricultural zones, subject to any further restrictions contained in other City ordinances.
3. **Wild or Predator Animals.** No wild animal of any kind taken from its natural habitat shall be kept or harbored in the City limits. These may include, but are not limited to, raccoons, squirrels, beavers, badgers, or muskrats. No predator animal, defined as any animal normally considered a predator in the wild, even if bred in captivity, including, but not limited to bears, wolves, coyotes, lions, tigers, cougars, panthers, lynx, and other members of the large hunting cat family, eagles, hawks, falcons and other large hunting bird species, alligators, crocodiles, iguanas, and any poisonous or constrictor snakes, shall be allowed to be kept or housed within the City of Arlington. This section shall not prohibit the keeping or housing of hunting dogs within the city, these being recognized as household pet animals.
4. **Exotic Animals.** Certain species of animals that are sometimes kept in captivity for exhibit, controlled hunting purposes, or agricultural production, may fit some or all of the other categories listed above. These exotic animals are of such a size and nature as to not be reasonably considered as appropriate domesticated household pets. Such animals include, but are not limited to, buffalo, elk, deer, llamas, ostriches and emus. No such exotic animals may be kept or housed within the City of Arlington, except by special use permit in agricultural zones.
5. **Pet Number Limitation.** No person or entity shall keep or house in the City of Arlington more than three adult household pet animals of any species. An adult animal is defined as an animal of breeding age. For example, a person can keep two adult dogs and one adult cat, or three adult dogs, or three adult cats, or two adult cats and one adult dog. The immature offspring of the allowed adult animals may be kept or housed until they reach breeding age, at which time they must be immediately removed from the premises. For purposes of this section, neutered or spayed animals which have reached breeding age shall be considered adult animals, even though they have been altered and cannot actually breed. This section shall not apply to small animals subject to close confinement at all times in a cage or aquarium, such as small birds or fish.

As an exception to the limitations under this Section 5, the limitation on the number of household pet animals shall not apply to a veterinary clinic, pet hospital, or a pet shop duly licensed and permitted to operate in a business zone within the City of Arlington.
6. **Licensing.** All dogs and cats kept or held within city limits must obtain a license from the city and pay the required license fee, which is set by the City Council from time to time. Proof that the dog or cat has been vaccinated for rabies must be presented at the time the license application is made. Licenses are to be obtained no later than 30 days after the animal is first acquired to be kept or housed within city limits. Such licenses expire March 31 each year, and owners are given a month grace period in which to renew said licenses, which are to be renewed no later than April 30 of each year. The full year license fee is payable for any part year the animal is kept or held in city limits, and there shall be no refund of the yearly license fee for any animal that dies or is removed from city limits prior to the expiration of the 12 month license issued.

7. Animal Care and Control. All animals kept or held within city limits must be physically controlled by the person or entity keeping or holding said animal, and in a manner to provide proper care for said animal. This control can be exercised as follows:

A. by keeping the animal caged, housed within a building that does not allow the animal free exit through a pet door or other uncontrolled opening or

B. by a fenced enclosure of sufficient construction and dimensions to prevent the animal from escape by jumping or climbing over or digging through under said enclosure. The floor of the fenced in enclosure can be natural soil, wood chips or pea rock, but in such case the surrounding fence shall be installed to extend at least 6 inches under ground level, to prevent the animal from easily digging out from under the fence. However, if the animal is found to have escaped from the enclosure by digging, then escape by digging shall be prevented by providing a floor to the cage or enclosure consisting of concrete, plastic, wood of sufficient thickness, or other material that the animal cannot dig or chew through to escape from the enclosure, or

C. by confining the animal by electronic control measures such as an "invisible fence", and electronic collar. In such case, the electronic control of the animal shall be used only in the rear yards of lots in the City of Arlington, so that the animal cannot appear to be free and threaten or upset persons who may be using the public sidewalks or streets fronting the property. If it is found that the electronic restraint is not sufficient to confine the animal to the back yard of the property, and the animal is found to have crossed beyond the electronic barrier, then the electronic confinement shall no longer be considered adequate for that animal, and the animal must be restrained by physical means as set out in subparagraphs 7A, 7B or 7D herein, or

D. by controlling the animal by a leash of sufficient composition to prevent the animal from breaking free of said leash, and with said leash held at all times by a person of sufficient size and strength to control the animal, or by anchoring the leash to a stake, ring, bolt or other device of sufficient strength and composition to prevent the leash from detaching from said connection.

E. In addition, any cage, building, enclosure or leash arrangement must be of sufficient composition, dimensions and location so as to reasonably prevent the animal from injuring any person or property. For example, if it is known that a dog has aggressive tendencies, it will not be considered sufficient control to merely stake the dog in the yard with a leash, if it can be reasonably anticipated that young children in the neighborhood may be able to approach the dog within the diameter of the leash. In this example, reasonable control would be to have the dog fenced in a cage or enclosure out of reach of any young children.

F. Any animal restraint or enclosure shall be set up in such a way as to properly care for the health of the animal. This shall include providing the animal with sufficient source of food and water available to the animal, shade in the summer and shelter from the elements in the winter.

G. Animals will be restrained or housed as required under this ordinance only in the rear yards of lots in the City of Arlington. No animals shall be leashed or housed in any manner in the front or side yards of any property in the City of Arlington. No animals shall be leashed or housed at a location which would allow the animal to trespass onto the property of any other owner or onto public street, sidewalk or alley rights of way.

H. Animals may be given exercise walks along the public streets, sidewalks and alleyways, as long as the animal is held by a leash controlled by a person of sufficient size and strength to restrain the animal. No animal shall be allowed to trespass onto private property without the express permission of the property owner. If the animal conducts a bowel elimination during any such exercise walk, the person controlling the dog must immediately pick up and remove said bowel movement and dispose of it in a covered garbage container located where the animal resides. Animals should be restrained from conducting bowel eliminations on public boulevards, parks and playgrounds, but if the animal cannot be prevented from doing so, said bowel eliminations must immediately be picked up by the person controlling the animal and disposed of in a covered garbage container located where the animal resides.

8. Noise Control. Any person or entity who keeps or harbors a pet or other animal, or allows another to keep or harbor a pet or other animal on his property, in the case where the pet or animal is of such a nature or disposition or is kept in such confinement or condition that the animal disrupts the peace of the neighboring property owners by emitting barking or other noises during normal sleep hours, shall be considered as maintaining a public nuisance in violation of this ordinance. Normal sleep hours for purpose of this section shall be defined as any time after 11:00 P.M. and before 7 A.M. It shall also be a violation of this ordinance if any person keeps or harbors a pet or other animal who emits barking or other noises at any time of the day or night in a continuous or persistent manner. The phrase "continuous or persistent manner" for purpose of this section shall be defined as any barking or loud noises created by the pet continuously for a period of 10 minutes or more, or on an average of more than once each hour.

9. Manure Control. No person or entity shall keep or house any animal within the city limits in such a manner or in such a condition as to allow a build up of manure on the property where the animal is housed, which could reasonably be considered offensive to any neighbor. It shall automatically be considered a violation of this section if the person or entity keeping or holding the animal allows more than six separate bowel eliminations to remain on the premises for a period of more than 24 hours. Such manure must properly be disposed of in a garbage container, sealed sufficiently to avoid odors from escaping from the container, and to avoid the accumulation of flies and other insects. Such garbage containers must be picked up for disposal outside City limits at least once each week. This section does not apply to animals kept in an agricultural zone.

10. Vicious Animals. No person will keep or harbor an animal within the city limits if said animal is known to have vicious tendencies. Any animal which attacks and causes serious injury to a person is automatically considered to be a vicious animal. Any animal which is found to have attacked or attempted to attack a person or another household pet animal at least two occasions shall automatically be considered a vicious animal. If such animal is not immediately removed from city limits by the person keeping or holding said animal after the second such attack, the police are authorized and directed to seize said animal and have it destroyed in a humane manner by a veterinarian. This section shall not apply to trained police dogs directed to attack in a law enforcement situation by their handler, or to an animal attacking a person or other animal in legitimate defense of itself, its owner or the owner's property. As an example, a family dog attacking a burglar inside the family home shall not be deemed a vicious animal on the basis of that attack.

11. Arlington Animal Clinic. For purposes of this ordinance, the Arlington Animal Clinic is designated as an agent of the city as the official impoundment site for stray and unlicensed animals. The clinic is also authorized to collect the required city license fee, plus any late fee on behalf of the city, and any impoundment fee owed the clinic from the owner of any unlicensed animal that has been impounded. Upon receipt of such fees, the Arlington Animal Clinic is authorized to release the animal to the owner.

If an animal is brought to the Arlington Animal Clinic as a stray, but it is determined that the animal is properly licensed, the Arlington Animal Clinic is authorized to release the animal to the owner upon payment of the normal boarding fee. However, if the animal is brought to the clinic a second time as a stray, the penalty clause set out in paragraph 12 below shall also apply.

12. Penalty. Any person or entity keeping or housing an animal in violation of any provision of this ordinance shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of \$50.00, plus all veterinary impoundment and boarding charges, and in the case of destruction of an animal, any veterinarian charge for said service. Any person or entity violating this ordinance more than once within a 12 month term shall be fined \$100.00 for each violation after the first violation, plus any veterinary fees as stated above. In addition, if the same animal is the subject of a second violation within a 12 month term, the police are authorized and directed to serve notice on the person or entity keeping or harboring the animal, that the animal will be confiscated if the violation is not corrected within 5 days after the second violation citation is issued. If the violation is not corrected within said 5 day term, the police are authorized and directed to impound the animal and dispose of it as the police deem appropriate, which may include sale, adoption or destruction, and the person or entity keeping or harboring the animal shall pay all costs related to said impoundment and disposal. If an animal is found to be the subject of a third violation within a 12 month term, the animal shall be impounded by the police without any further notice to the person keeping or harboring the animal, and disposed of by sale, adoption or destruction as the police deem appropriate, with the person or entity who kept or harbored the animal paying the impoundment and disposal costs.

13. Exceptions. This ordinance shall not prohibit the keeping of farm animals in appropriate places, and appropriately controlled, on the Sibley County Fairgrounds during the celebration of the county fair and other livestock exhibitions. In addition, the City Council, may, in its discretion, issue a special permit from time to time to allow the presence of animals within city limits that would normally be restricted by this ordinance, in conjunction with parades, circuses or other community celebrations, but in such case the city shall have the right to place conditions on the presence of such animals, to include provisions that will ensure that the animals are properly controlled and that the public health and safety are protected.

All ordinances or portions of ordinances inconsistent with this ordinance are hereby amended.

This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon publication.

For City of Arlington:

/s/ James R. Kreft
By James R. Kreft
Its Mayor

/s/ Dennis P. Schultz
By Dennis P. Schultz
Its Administrator

Attorney Arneson presented Ordinance No. 207 – An Ordinance Regulating Special Council Meetings and Amending Ordinance No. 167 for its first reading. He explained that last fall the Council had voted (by Resolution) to change the number of paid special meetings to unlimited. He informed the Council that action of this type has to be done by Ordinance; therefore the motion made last fall was not valid. Arneson commented that he felt the \$25 fee paid per meeting should be increased as the Councilmembers are much more involved now due to the current and very active committee structure that is in place as compared to previous Councils. Vrklan commented that he felt \$25 was fair; Wills and Borchert agreed. Borchert commented that he feels guilty getting paid for attending a committee meeting, when the volunteers on the committee are not. Mayor Kreft felt that \$25 per meeting was reasonable, but questioned if a COLA could be added (automatic yearly increase) that way future Councils are not put in the position of giving themselves raises and feeling guilty doing so. Arneson stated that a COLA could be added. Griep expressed his feelings that the fee should be increased to \$40 per meeting due to the number and length of the meetings, inconvenience on personal life and for recruitment of future Councilmembers. Arneson noted that the Council will be paid quarterly rather than yearly. He clarified that this ordinance would become effective immediately as it deals with a fee and not a salary and the COLA (if added) would then be done on a yearly basis. Some discussion was held on the difference between a special meeting and a committee meeting and how the Council should be getting paid for both (\$25 per special meeting up to 40 and \$25 per committee meeting unlimited). Attorney Arneson did not recollect seeing an ordinance that specified a limit on the number of committee meetings that could be paid for.

Ambulance Manager Brian Thomes commented that he had been contacted by Melanie Mahon from Glencoe about joining the ambulance service. He stated that she is a licensed EMT and is certified in CPR. She works at the Arlington Good Samaritan Center. A background check was done and nothing came up. Borchert expressed concern about her living in Glencoe. Thomes explained that she was aware of the response time and would be within city limits whenever she took call. Thomes commented that he was hopeful she could assist with day call, but this still needed to be worked out with her employer (Good Samaritan Center).

Motion by Griep, seconded by Wills, and passed by unanimous vote to hire Melanie Mahon as an EMT for the ambulance service.

Attorney Arneson presented Ordinance No. 208 – An Ordinance Setting the Salary of the Mayor and City Council Members for its first reading. He explained that city staff had surveyed 6 other cities of similar size to Arlington to see what they were paying and compared them to the current salaries of the Mayor and Council. He stated that both the Mayor and Council salaries were under the average of the other cities polled. He explained that any pay increase would not go into effect until the year after the next election. He added that the Council would get paid 25% of the yearly salary at the end of each calendar quarter instead of once a year. He stated that a COLA clause had been added and explained how it would work in conjunction with the salaries. Vrklan suggested increasing the Mayor's salary to \$4,500 and the Council's salary to \$3,500. Attorney Arneson felt these numbers were very reasonable and the Council should not under value itself or future Councils.

Discussion was held on revising the shade tree ordinance. Attorney Arneson stated that he was still going through the documentation that was provided to him by city staff and has not had time to pull it all together to comprise a new ordinance. He asked the Council what their thoughts were regarding costs associated with removing and/or replacing boulevard trees, if they should be 100% city responsibility or split 50-50 with adjacent property owners. Mayor Kreft commented that the replacement costs should be solely the City's in order to maintain control of what types of trees are planted in boulevards. Mayor Kreft commented that he had spoken to a DNR representative at the LMC Conference. He was given a CD that helps cities draft shade tree ordinances. Attorney Arneson summarized the duties of the Forestry Board. It was the consensus of the Council that the City needs a forester and the Park Committee would act as the Forestry Committee.

The Council reviewed the Employee Policy Handbook regarding caring over vacation time. Mayor Kreft explained that the handbook allows up to 40 hours of vacation time to be carried over to the next year, but must be used within 6 months. It was noted that employees have been carrying vacation time over, but not necessarily using it in the allotted time; it has been allowed to accrue. Wills questioned if someone goes through the handbook with employees upon hiring and/or yearly so they can't come back and say they didn't know what

city policies are. Borchert commented that the handbook should be gone through with all employees and they should have to sign something that states they have read the handbook and understand its contents. Wills questioned if sick time is also allowed to accrue. Mayor Kreft commented that sick time is handled somewhat differently. Griep commented that the City should work with the employees who have accrued vacation/sick time to get them in compliance with the handbook policies. No action was taken.

The Council reviewed the letter of resignation from P&Z Administrator/Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer Harry Jenness. The letter stated that his last day in the office would be June 29th, however he would be paid through July 20th for his accrued/unused vacation time.

Motion by Griep, seconded by Borchert, and passed by unanimous vote to accept the letter of resignation from P&Z Administrator/Building Inspector/Code Enforcement Officer Harry Jenness and to allow him to be paid out for his accrued vacation time.

Interim Adm. Schultz commented that he was directed by Mayor Kreft to research with other communities the possibility of sharing a building official on a temporary basis. He stated that he had talked with several cities, who provided him with good/bad feedback about various inspectors. He stated that Winthrop was currently using Darin Haslip from Madelia and was happy with the service he was providing. Schultz commented that Haslip would be willing to provide building inspection coverage at \$45 per hour on a temporary basis, in order to allow the Council time to make a decision with regards to the Planning & Zoning Administrator/Building Inspector position. Mayor Kreft commented that Jenness had offered to do inspections after hours or on weekends until a replacement could be found.

Motion by Vrklan, seconded by Griep, and passed by unanimous vote to hire Darin Haslip as a temporary Building Inspector at a rate of \$45 per hour.

Mayor Kreft explained that he had Interim Administrator Schultz contact various consultants who handle Planning & Zoning issues for cities. Schultz commented that he had made contact with Municipal Development Group out of New Prague. Kreft stated that this consultant could be utilized on a case-by-case basis (CUP, Variance, etc.) or as needed.

The Council reviewed a letter from Paul Christensen of PeopleService regarding a pump for well #2 going out. The letter explained that there were 2 options available:

- 1) Install new bearings to repair the existing motor that was manufactured in 1921 at a cost of \$5,751; or
- 2) Install a new pump head, motor, and head shaft at a cost of \$11,877 (with additional costs up to \$5,000 for pipe).

Christensen was recommending purchasing a new motor as parts for the existing motor are getting more difficult to find. He noted that a new motor will operate more cost effectively and will reduce the electrical costs of the City; be eligible for electrical rebates (reduce project costs) and come with a warranty.

Motion by Wills, seconded by Vrklan, and passed by unanimous vote to authorize PeopleService to purchase a new motor for Well #2 at a cost of \$11,877 (plus additional costs for pipe fitting).

The Council reviewed a letter from Director Kathy Homme that stated that she had accepted Caitlin Franke's resignation and was asking permission to advertise the part-time library aide position.

Motion by Vrklan, seconded by Borchert, and passed by unanimous vote to accept the resignation of Caitlin Franke as part-time library aide and to authorize Director Homme to advertise the position.

The Council reviewed the following:

- 1) June Building Permit report from P&Z Administrator Jenness
- 2) City Staff Activity Reports
- 3) Water/Wastewater Report from PeopleService.

Brief discussion was held on the upcoming budget meetings.

Wills commented that the Parks Committee had discussed, as a project for next year, replacing equipment in Fairview Park. He stated they also want to see a chain link fence put in between the railroad tracks and play equipment at Four Seasons Park.

Mayor Kreft commented that he had received an email from a young man about getting a skateboard park in the city. He stated that he had responded to the email and instructed the young man to bring some information in; then he would have the Parks Committee give it some consideration (budget for in the future). Vrklan commented that a group of young people had approached the City a few years earlier, but because of the liability issues associated with such a park, the City chose not to pursue it at that time. Attorney Arneson will check with the League of Cities on this.

Griep and Mayor Kreft gave an update on the Hospital Board. Griep explained that a consultant had been hired to look at the overall picture of the hospital (including employee relations and customer service). Griep stated that the Human Resources person had resigned and they have hired an interim HR person. Griep added that the hospital take over of the ambulance service was discussed, which he did pass along the Council's proposal of possibly helping out financially with salaries if the take over were to happen.

Mayor Kreft commented that the Library Committee is pursuing the 501c3 non-profit organizational status. He stated that Director Homme is applying for a grant in anticipation of the non-profit status.

Wills commented on the Seneca Committee meeting that had been held. He stated that an agreement had been reached with regard to the gravel road behind Seneca Foods and Attorney Arneson would be getting it ready for signatures. Arneson stated that there were no major changes from the original agreement, other than it had expired.

Schultz commented that there have been complaints about the sound quality of the Council meetings on the Public Access Channel. He stated that he had contacted the company that had installed the current recording system to see if they had any solutions, but was waiting to hear back from them. It was suggested to try desk mounted microphones to see if they would work better.

Mayor Kreft recessed the regular meeting and called the closed meeting to order.

The Council reviewed a letter from Police Chief Rovinsky regarding his future with the department. It was understood that the letter was not a resignation of any kind.

Mayor Kreft suggested that the Council should have a workshop meeting to discuss the vacancy in the Planning & Zoning Department, the EDA Director and also the future of the Police Department in conjunction with Chief Rovinsky's proposal. Attorney Arneson talked about the team relationship that exists between the Police Department and Code Enforcement Officer, including the difficulty associated with determining enforcement (who is responsible). He stated that the job descriptions for both should be more clearly defined.

It was the consensus of the Council to have a workshop meeting on Wednesday, July 11th at 5:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers at the Community Center to discuss what direction should be taken with the various departments.

Mayor Kreft adjourned the closed meeting and reconvened the regular meeting.

Motion by Wills, seconded by Vrklan, and passed by unanimous vote to adjourn the meeting at 9:18 pm.